https://jibs.modares.ac.ir



Citation: Shamshev, I.V. & Gilasian, E. (2025) New species and new records of hybotid dance flies (Diptera: Hybotidae: Tachydromiinae) from Iranian mangrove habitats. *Journal of Insect Biodiversity and Systematics*, 11 (in press).

INTRODUCTION

The family Hybotidae (or hybotid dance flies) is one of the largest groups of empidoid flies comprising about 2300 described species (Shamshev, 2016; Sinclair & Cumming, 2017). The hybotids have worldwide distribution but they are especially diverse in tropical regions. Some broadly distributed genera of this family exhibit high levels of species diversity in temperate regions as well (e.g., *Platypalpus* Macquart). About 700 species of Hybotidae are currently known from the Palaearctic Realm (Shamshev, 2016). However, only seven species have been recorded from the territory of Iran (Raffone, 2007; Kazerani et al., 2022). The hybotids have been shown to include many species that adapted to specific mangrove conditions. Their fauna in mangrove habitats was intensively investigated in Singapore and Hong Kong (Grootaert, 2019; Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012). Our paper is the first report of Hybotidae occurring in mangroves of South Iran. All species collected during this survey belong to the subfamily Tachydromiinae.

The Iranian mangrove forests with about 93.37 km² are located in the south and southeastern coastlines, close to the Persian Gulf and Oman Sea. The largest mangrove area in Iran (67.5 km²) is a region between Khamir port and northwest of Qeshm Island (Hormozgan province) (Zahed et al., 2010). The purpose of this study is to clarify the fauna of flies of the family Hybotidae in Iranian mangrove habitats based on the examination of available material collected from the region.

Corresponding author: Igor V. Shamshev, Shamshev@mail.ru

Copyright © 2025, Shamshev, I.V. & Gilasian, E. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons NonCommercial Attribution License (CC BY NC 4.0), which permits Share - copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, and Adapt - remix, transform, and build upon the material, under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study is based on material deposited in the Hayk Mirzayans Insect Museum, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection (HMIM) and the Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia (ZISP). The specimens were collected from the mangrove habitats located in southern Iran, Hormozgan province (Fig. 1) by yellow pan traps and light traps. In order to properly recover the material from ethanol, we followed the AXA method proposed by van Achterberg (2009). To facilitate observations, the terminalia were macerated in cold 10% KOH and immersed in glycerine. The photographs were taken using a Canon[®] EOS 11 40D camera using a Canon MP-E 65 mm objective, with multiple layers combined using the Helicon Focus® 7.6 software. The photographs of male terminalia served as models for the outline of hand-drawn illustrations. Terms used for adult structures follow those of Cumming & Wood (2017). In descriptions, the right and left side of the male terminalia are based on the unrotated position viewed posteriorly, such that in the illustrations the right surstylus appears on the reader's left side and vice versa. Male terminalia are figured in their unrotated position. Male body length was measured from the antennal base to the tip of genitalia and female body length from the base of the antennae to the tip of cerci. Thoracic setae are counted on one side of the body (except scutellars). The species are arranged alphabetically. We refer to Grootaert & Shamshev (2012) for a full list of synonyms.



Figure 1. Mangrove habitats in Iran. **A.** Hormozgan Province, Sirik, Azini wharf; **B.** Hormozgan Province, Gabrik protected area, Keyki; **C–D.** Hormozgan Province, Bandar-e Khamir, Marduo Island.

RESULTS

Taxonomic hierarchy Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1785 Order Diptera Linnaeus, 1758 Suborder Brachycera Macquart, 1834 Superfamily Empidoidea Latreille, 1804 Family Hybotidae Meigen, 1830 Subfamily Tachydromiinae Meigen, 1822

Genus Crossopalpus Bigot, 1857

Crossopalpus Bigot, 1857:557, 563. Type-species: Platypalpus ambiguus Macquart, 1827, by monotypy.

Remarks. The genus *Crossopalpus* comprises about 90 species worldwide (Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012; Freitas-Silva & Ale-Rocha, 2019; Grootaert & Beuk, 2024). Species of *Crossopalpus* inhabit different biotopes including the sandy or swampy banks of lakes and rivers as well as seashore areas (Chvála, 1975). Grootaert & Shamshev (2012) reported one species of *Crossopalpus* inhabiting the mangroves of Singapore. One species of this genus was found here in the Gabrik protected area. This is the second species of *Crossopalpus* known from the territory of Iran. Earlier, Raffone (2007) recorded *C. humilis* (Frey, 1913) from Central Iran (Isfahan Province).

Crossopalpus subaenescens Collin, 1960

Crossopalpus subaenescens Collin, 1960:386. Type-localities: "in Egypt at Kerdace, Ezbet Naghl and Siva; in Palestine at the Dead Sea, Mount Scopus, Jerusalem and from Carmel, Haifa; S. Arabia, Yemen Prov."

Material examined. 7 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀, Iran: Hormozgan Prov., Gabrik protected area, Keyki, 25°41'49.7"N, 058°30'32.9"E, 0 m a.s.l., light trap, 15.v.2022, M. Mofidi & A. Hajiesmaeilian.

Distribution. Palaearctic: Asia: Jordan, Iran, Israel, Yemen; North Africa: Egypt. Afrotropics: Sudan.

Remarks. Crossopalpus subaenescens is broadly distributed over the Middle East but it is recorded for the first time from Iran. The specimens that we examined were collected by a light trap.

Genus *Drapetis* Meigen, 1822

Drapetis Meigen, 1822:91. Type species: *D. exilis* Meigen, 1822, by monotypy.

Remarks. Currently, *Drapetis* includes about 85 species worldwide, of which 31 are known from the Palaearctic Realm (Grootaert, 2016; Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012; Grootaert & Hellqvist, 2020; Grootaert et al., 2020; Michelsen & Grootaert, 2019; Raffone, 2011, 2013). In temperate regions, species of *Drapetis* are found on the bark of tree-trunks, on ground-vegetation, etc. (Chvála, 1975). Grootaert & Shamshev (2012) described a complex of four species of *Drapetis* inhabiting the mangroves of Singapore. The new species described herein is the second species of *Drapetis* known from the territory of Iran. Earlier, Raffone (2007) recorded *D. pictitarsis* Engel from South-West Iran (Khorramshahr, Khuzestan Province).

Drapetis hormozganiensis sp. nov. (Fig. 2)

https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:500A15EB-F978-421D-9ADA-7026395E542A

Type material. Holotype ♂, IRAN: Hormozgan Prov., Sirik, Azini wharf, 26°19'39.9"N, 057°06'15.7"E, 0 m a.s.l., pan trap, 13.v.2022, M. Mofidi & A. Hajiesmaeilian (ZISP); **Paratypes:** 5 ♂♂, 3 ♀♀, same data as holotype (HMIM, ZISP).

Etymology. The epithet refers to the type locality of the new species, Hormozgan Province (Iran).

Diagnosis. Small species (wing 1.3 mm) with crossveins r-m and bm-m close together; antennal scape and pedicel brownish yellow to yellow, postpedicel brown; head and thorax with yellow to pale setae; legs robust, almost entirely yellow, only tarsomere 5 brown; male mid femur with short, strong dark setae ventrally and mid tibia with black spinules ventrally.



Figure 2. *Drapetis hormozganiensis* **sp. nov.**, male. **A.** Habitus, holotype, dorsal view; **B-D.** Hypopygium; **B.** Epandrium and cerci; **C.** Right epandrial lamella, lateral view; **D.** Lower lobe of left surstylus, lateral view. *Abbreviations*. Ift cerc: left cercus; lft epand lam: left epandrial lamella; llb lft sur: lower lobe of left surstylus; rt cerc: right cercus; rt epand lam: right epandrial lamella; sbepand lb: subepandrial lobe; ulb lft sur: upper lobe of left surstylus. Scale bars: A. 0.5 mm, B-D. 0.1 mm.

Description. — Male (Fig. 2A). Body length 1.5–1.7 mm, wing length 1.3 mm. Head brown, pale setose. Frons V-shaped, narrow above antennae, widened towards ocellar tubercle, faintly greyish pruinose. Face and clypeus densely greyish pruinose. Occiput greyish pruinose; vertical setae long, inclinate. Ocellar setae of subequal lengths, anterior pair inclinate, posterior pair lateroclinate. Antenna with scape and pedicel brownish yellow to yellow, postpedicel and stylus brown; pedicel mostly with subequally short setulae, 1 seta beneath longer (nearly as long as length of pedicel); postpedicel conical, nearly 2× as long as basal width; stylus apical, moderately long, nearly 2.5× as long as postpedicel. Proboscis yellowish brown. Palpus dirty yellow, small, rounded, bearing scattered setulae, subapical seta short, thin.

Thorax. Mostly brownish, mesopleuron rather yellowish brown, extensively shiny; prothoracic sclerites, mesoscutum in front of scutellum, scutellum, postnotum faintly pruinose; strong setae yellow to pale. Prothoracic episterna with 1 setula just above fore coxa and 1 short upturned seta on upper part. Postpronotal seta not prominent. Anepisternum (= mesopleuron) with numerous setulae on upper part. Mesonotal setae: rows of acrostichals and dorsocentrals undifferentiated, scutum evenly covered with numerous pale setulae, 1 pair of long prescutellar setae; 2 notopleurals, 1 postsutural supra-alar, 1 postalar and 4 scutellars (apical pair very long, cruciate; lateral pair very short). Legs robust, almost entirely yellow, only tarsomere 5 brown, mostly pale setose. Coxae and trochanters with unmodified setation. Fore femur with rows of anteroventral and posteroventral setulae, 1 long fine seta near base. Fore tibia lacking prominent setae. Mid femur simple, smooth and covered with uniform setulae anteriorly, bearing short, strong dark setae ventrally (less distinct close to apex), 1 moderately long subapical seta anteriorly. Mid tibia simple, with black ventral spinules. Hind femur with somewhat longer anteroventral setae subapically and 4 short, erect dorsal setae near base. Hind tibia lacking prominent setae; apical projection small, rounded. Tarsi of all legs unmodified, with unmodified setation. Wing normally developed, hyaline, veins brownish yellow to yellow. Basal costal seta present, moderately long, brownish yellow. Costal index (proportions of distances between humeral crossvein and R_1 / R_1 and R_{2+3} / R_{2+3} and R_{4+5} , respectively): 2.7/1/1.6. Vein R₂₊₃ gently curved. Veins R₄₊₅ and M₁₊₂ mostly slightly divergent, parallel nearly at apical 1/4 of wing; R₄₊₅ slightly sinuate; M₁ nearly straight. Crossvein bm-m perpendicular, crossvein r-m slightly beyond middle of cell bm; distance between bm-m and r-m nearly 2× shorter than apical portion of M4 and 2.5–2.6× longer than bm-m. Halter pale yellow.

Abdomen. Tergite 1 pale, remaining tergites brownish; tergites 2–3 of subequal width (viewed laterally), with ordinary setae; tergite 4 broadest, pruinose, with squamiform setae laterally; tergite 5 very narrow dorsally, with squamiform setae laterally; tergites 6–7 of subequal width, nearly as broad as tergites 2–3; with ordinary setae, tergite 7 with short, brownish yellow posteromarginal setae. Sternites weakly sclerotised, bearing scattered setulae. Hypopygium (Figs 2B–D) moderately large, brownish. Epandrium completely divided (Fig. 2B). Right epandrial lamella subtriangular (Fig. 2C), with small, subtriangular dorsal projection; covered with numerous, long simple setae; right surstylus undifferentiated from apex of epandrial lamella. Left epandrial lamella fused to hypandrium, lacking setation apically; left surstylus represented by two separated sclerites (lobes); upper lobe (close to cerci) rather subrectangular, narrow, with scattered setae; lower lobe large, subglobular in lateral view (Fig. 2D), covered with numerous long setae; subepandrial lobe slender. Cerci separated (Fig. 2B); right cercus rather subrectangular, moderately broad, rounded at apex, with ordinary setae of different lengths; left cercus much narrower and slightly shorter than right cercus, digitiform, very slender, with ordinary setae of different lengths. Phallus short. Two rod-shaped apodemes.

Female. Similar to male except as follows. Mid femur with finer, yellowish setae ventrally; mid tibia without black spinules ventrally.

Differential diagnosis. In *D. hormozganiensis* **sp. nov.** the wing has the radial-medial crossvein (r–m) situated beyond the middle of the basal medial cell (bm) and therefore the new species belongs to the *D. exilis* group of species (Chvála, 1975). Amongst the European species of *Drapetis* the new species could be compared with *D. infitialis* Collin, 1961 (Grootaert et al., 2010; Michelsen & Grootaert, 2019). *Drapetis hormozganiensis* **sp. nov.** differs from *D. infitialis* primarily by the simple mid femora. In the latter species the mid femur has a field of short, transverse ribs anteriorly (Michelsen & Grootaert, 2019: figs 5C, E). There are four species of *Drapetis* recorded from the south Mediterranean region and the Middle East that should be compared with *D. hormozganiensis* **sp. nov.** The new species differs from *D. iordaniensis* Raffone (known only from Jordan) by pale setae of the head and thorax (versus black in *D. iordaniensis*), yellowish scape and pedicel of the antenna (versus antenna entirely brown) and by brown tarsomere 5 of the legs (versus legs entirely yellow (Raffone, 2011). *Drapetis laevis* Becker remains known after a female described from Morocco (Becker, 1914; Kovalev, 1970). A record of this species from Egypt probably belongs to a different species (Collin, 1949). The new species differs from *D. laevis* by longer postpedicel (nearly 2× as long as basal width versus almost as long wide in *D. laevis*), much shorter stylus (2.5× longer than postpedicel versus nearly 6.5× longer than postpedicel) and by the absence of apical setae on

mid and hind tibiae. In addition, *D. laevis* is smaller (body 1.1 mm versus 1.5–1.7 in the new species). *Drapetis bicolor* Collin is one more species known only after a female, but described from Egypt (Collin, 1949). The new species differs from *D. bicolor* at least by longer postpedicel (nearly $2\times$ as long as basal width versus very little longer than wide in *D. bicolor*) and brown tarsomere 5 of legs (legs entirely yellow in *D. bicolor*). *Drapetis pictitarsis* Engel was described from Tunisia but later this species was also recorded from Italy and Iran (Engel, 1939; Raffone, 2007). The new species differs from *D. pictitarsis* by pale setae of the head and thorax (versus black in *D. pictitarsis*) and hyaline wings (brownish yellow anteriorly in *D. pictitarsis*) with longer distance between crossveins bm-m and r-m (nearly 2.5× longer than bm-m versus 1.5× in *D. pictitarsis*).

Distribution. Iran (Hormozgan Province).

Genus Elaphropeza Macquart, 1827

Elaphropeza Macquart, 1827:86. Type species: Tachydromia ephippiata Fallén, 1815, by monotypy.

Remarks. Elaphropeza is one of the largest genera of the family Hybotidae. The genus has clear circumtropical distribution and currently includes about 230 named species worldwide (Grootaert, 2019; Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012, 2015; Shamshev & Grootaert, 2007). Adult *Elaphropeza* are leaf-dwellers (Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012). *Elaphropeza* is well represented in mangroves of Singapore and Hong Kong (Grootaert, 2019). Two species of *Elaphropeza* were found in this study, including a new species. Formally, *Elaphropeza* is recorded for the first time from the territory of Iran.

Elaphropeza marduoensis sp. nov. (Fig. 3)

https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:8257C01E-E29F-4A94-B912-7DBDB913BCC8

Type material. Holotype 3, Iran: Hormozgan Prov., Bandar-e Khamir, Marduo Island, 26°58'33"N, 055°40'25"E, 3 m a.s.l., yellow pan trap, 30.x–2.xi.2021, E. Gilasian (ZISP); **Paratypes:** 9 33, same data as holotype (HMIM, ZISP).

Etymology. The epithet refers to the type locality of the new species, Marduo Island (Hormozgan Province).

Diagnosis. Small species (wing 1.6–1.7 mm) of the *E. ephippiata* group; recognised by yellow thorax with scutellum anteriorly and postnotum brown; antennal scape and pedicel yellow, postpedicel mostly brown (yellowish at base), postpedicel nearly 3× longer than basal width, stylus slightly longer than postpedicel; legs almost entirely yellow, only tarsomere 5 brown, hind tibia with 1 anterodorsal seta.

Description. — Male (Fig. 3A). Wing length 1.6–1.7 mm. Head black, pale setose. Occiput greyish pruinose; vertical setae long, inclinate, yellowish (outer pair somewhat shorter). Anterior ocellars long proclinate, posterior ocellars minute. Frons very narrow, slightly broadened towards ocellar triangle; subshiny above antennae, faintly greyish pruinose below ocellar triangle. Antenna with scape and pedicel yellow, postpedicel mostly brown (yellowish at base), postpedicel nearly 3× longer than basal width; stylus with short pubescence, rather short, slightly longer than postpedicel (1.3–1.4×). Proboscis yellowish. Palpus pale yellow, small, rounded, silvery pubescent, bearing scattered setulae, subapical seta short.

Thorax. Yellow but scutellum anteriorly (except upper margin) and entire postnotum brown (sometimes mesoscutum with some slight, brownish yellow markings above wings); mostly shiny, prothoracic sclerites, scutellum and postnotum faintly tomentose; strong setae brownish yellow to yellow. Prothoracic episterna lacking long upturned seta just above fore coxa. Postpronotal seta not prominent. Mesonotal setae: rows of acrostichals and dorsocentrals undifferentiated, scutum evenly covered with numerous pale setulae, 1 pair of very long prescutellar setae; 2 notopleurals, 1 postsutural supra-alar, 1 postalar and 4 scutellars (apical pair very long, cruciate; lateral pair very short). Legs robust, almost entirely yellow, only tarsomere 5 brown. Coxae and trochanters with unmodified setae. Fore femur with rows of rather long anteroventral and posteroventral setae (getting somewhat shorter close to apex), 1 long fine seta near the base. Fore tibia lacking prominent setae (except circlet of short subapicals). Mid femur simple, covered with short, strong setae on about basal 1/3 ventrally, bearing almost complete row of similar but slightly longer posteroventral setae, 1 long fine seta near base and 1 long, strong, brownish yellow subapical seta anteriorly. Mid tibia simple; with incomplete rows (absent close to base)

of black, anteroventral and less distinct posteroventral spinules, lacking ventral, subapical, black clawlike spine and prominent setae (except short subapicals). Hind femur with short anteroventrals and 4 erect dorsal setae near base. Hind tibia with 1 anterodorsal, moderately long, brownish yellow setae close to middle; apical projection small, rounded. Tarsi of all legs unmodified; hind basitarsus with slightly longer, stronger brownish setulae anteroventrally.Wing normally developed, hyaline, covered with uniform microtrichia; veins mostly yellowish brown, basal section of M₁, crossveins bm-cu and r-m pale. Costal vein with moderately long, uniform setulae along anterior margin; basal costal seta present, moderately long, brownish yellow. Costal index (proportions of distances between humeral crossvein and R₁ / R₁ and R₂₊₃ / R₂₊₃ and R₄₊₅, respectively): 1.8/1.8/1. Vein R₂₊₃ gently curved. Veins R₄₊₅ and M₁₊₂ slightly divergent near wing margin, both straight. Vein M₄ not quite reaching wing margin. Vein CuA+CuP present as short fold. Crossvein bm-m perpendicular, crossvein r-m before middle of cell bm. Squama yellow, with long, brownish yellow setae. Halter pale yellow.

Abdomen. Tergite 1 pale yellow; tergites 2–3 brownish yellow, narrow, subtriangular viewed laterally, divided mid-dorsally, with unmodified setae; tergite 4 dark brown, shiny, broadest, with numerous short fine setae and squamiform setae laterally; tergite 5 very narrow, undivided, brownish, with squamiform setae; tergites 6–7 brownish, broader than tergite 5, undivided, with long posteromarginal setae; tergite 8 unmodified. Sternites yellowish, with scattered setulae, sternite 8 with moderately long posteromarginal setae. Gland-like structure present between tergites 4–5. Hypopygium (Figs 3B–D) moderately large, brownish right epandrial lamella somewhat paler at the base. Epandrium completely divided (Fig. 3B). Right epandrial lamella subtriangular (Fig. 3C), covered with numerous, long simple setae. Left epandrial lamella fused to hypandrium, with 7–8 long setae apically; left surstylus represented by two separated sclerites (lobes); upper lobe (close to cerci) small, subtriangular, with 2 long apical setae; lower lobe elongate, moderately broad, sinuate, with short, pointed projection near base dorsally (Fig. 3D), mostly covered with short, fine setae, dorsal projection with stronger setae; subepandrial lobe slender. Cerci narrowly fused (Fig. 3B); right cercus short, very narrower than left cercus, with ordinary setae of different lengths; left cercus moderately long, apical portion digitiform, bearing several long, fine setae. Phallus short. Two rod-shaped apodemes.

Female. Unknown.

Differential diagnosis. The new species belongs to the E. ephippiata group of species (Shamshev & Grootaert, 2007). Elaphropeza marduoensis sp. nov. can be readily distinguished from all known Palaearctic species of *Elaphropeza* primarily by the presence of a single anterodorsal seta on the hind tibia (versus 2 anterodorsal setae) (Chvála, 1971). In having one anterodorsal seta on the hind tibia, the new species could be compared with E. ralloi Raffone, 1991 described from Sudan (Raffone, 1991). However, *E. marduoensis* **sp. nov.** differs from *E. ralloi* primarily by longer postpedicel (nearly 3× longer than basal width versus 2× longer than basal in E. ralloi) and mostly brown scutellum. The new species is closely related to a complex of Oriental species sharing fused cerci (Shamshev & Grootaert, 2007). Within the key to the Oriental species of Elaphropeza, the new species would run to E. riatanae Shamshev & Grootaert, which is amongst the dominant species in the mangroves of Singapore (Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012). Both species have very similar male terminalia. However, E. riatanae has longer postpedicel (nearly 5× longer than basal width) and brown fore tibiae and tarsi. In addition, E. marduoensis sp. nov. resembles E. ubinensis Shamshev & Grootaert and E. feminata Shamshev & Grootaert (Shamshev & Grootaert, 2007; Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012). The new species differs from E. ubinensis primarily by shorter postpedicel (3× longer than basal width versus 4.5× in *E. ubinensis*) and partly brown scutellum (entirely yellow in *E. ubinensis*). *Elaphropeza feminata* (recorded herein from mangroves of Iran, see below) is very similar to the new species. However, E. marduoensis sp. nov. differs from E. feminata by partly yellow scutellum, undifferentiated acrostichal and dorsocentral setae, lack of a ventral, subapical, claw-like mid tibial spine in the male and simple female postabdomen. In E. feminata, the scutellum is entirely black; acrostichal and dorsocentral setae are arranged in rows, the former are 4-2serial, the latter are 3-1-serial (from anterior margin of mesoscutum towards scutellum); the mid tibia of the male bears a subapical, claw-like, black spine; the female has a curiously modified postabdomen.

Distribution. Iran (Hormozgan Province).



Figure 3. *Elaphropeza marduoensis* **sp. nov.**, male. **A.** habitus, holotype, dorsal view. **B–D.** hypopygium; **B.** Epandrium and cerci; **C.** Right epandrial lamella, lateral view; **D.** Lower lobe of left surstylus, lateral view. *Abbreviations.* Ift cerc: left cercus; lft epand lam: left epandrial lamella; llb lft sur: lower lobe of left surstylus; rt cerc: right cercus; rt epand lam: right epandrial lamella; sbepand lb: subepandrial lobe; ulb lft sur: upper lobe of left surstylus. Scale bars: A. 0.5 mm, B–D. 0.1 mm.

Elaphropeza feminata Shamshev & Grootaert 2007

Elaphropeza feminata Shamshev & Grootaert 2007:107, fig. 180 (female only). Type locality: Singapore, Chek Jawa. *Elaphropeza feminata*: Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012:101 (male), figs 152–155.

Material examined. 2 ♂♂, Iran: Hormozgan Prov., Qeshm Island, Kovarzin, 26°48'39"N, 055°46'38"E, 0 m a.s.l., McPhail trap, 3–4.xi.2021, E. Gilasian; 2♂♂, 1 ♀, Iran: Hormozgan Prov., Bandar-e Khamir, Marduo Island, 26°58'33"N, 055°40'25"E, 3 m a.s.l., yellow pan trap, 30.x–2.xi.2021, E. Gilasian (HMIM, ZISP).

Distribution. Iran (Hormozgan Province), Singapore.

Remarks. Elaphropeza feminata is a common species in mangroves of Singapore, which are mainly represented by females (Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012). The female of this species has a curiously modified postabdomen (Shamshev & Grootaert 2007: fig. 238). *Elaphropeza feminata* is recorded for the first time from Iran and from the Palaearctic Realm.

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of this study, with only four collected species belonging to three genera of the subfamily Tachydromiinae, the mangrove habitats in Iran do not consist of high hybotid species richness. In contrast, the available data show a high hybotid diversity in mangroves of tropical areas of the world. For example, 50 species of the genus Elaphropeza, which was a dominant group, were recorded from the faunistic studies carried out in mangroves of Singapore (Grootaert & Shamshev, 2012, 2015). Although, only ten species of *Elaphropeza* were found in mangroves of subtropical Hong Kong (Grootaert, 2019). Unfortunately, nothing is known about the fauna of *Elaphropeza* from mainland Iran. However, it is most probably very poor in species number like other Palaearctic areas. In the absence of more precise observations, it is difficult to conclude about the habitat preferences of the species recorded herein. Crossopalpus subaenescens is probably associated with river banks. The two species of Elaphropeza are possibly true mangrove species. It is remarkable that one of them (E. feminata) was known earlier as a common mangrove species in Singapore. This record may suggest historical faunistic connections between South Iran and Singapore. Morphologically, recorded species of Crossopalpus and Drapetis have the closest allies amongst the Palaearctic species. However, a new species of *Elaphropeza* is closely related to the known Oriental species (primarily from Singapore). Further studies of the mangrove community in South Iran are needed for more precise conclusions.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

The authors confirm their contribution to the paper as follows: I. Shamshev: conceptualization and microscopic photography, taxonomy, writing – original draft, review and editing. E. Gilasian: conceptualization and microscopic photography, collecting material, writing – original draft, review and editing.

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection (IRIPP) and Iran National Science Foundation (INSF).

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

The specimens listed in this study are deposited in the Hayk Mirzayans Insect Museum, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection (HMIM) and the Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia (ZISP) and are available from the curator, upon request.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study only included arthropod material, and all required ethical guidelines for the treatment and use of animals were strictly adhered to in accordance with international, national, and institutional regulations. No human participants were involved in any studies conducted by the authors for this article.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our special thanks go to the Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, and the Iranian National Science Foundation for funding this research. The study of Igor Shamshev was performed within the frames of the Russian State Research Project no. 122031100272-3 of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation. We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Becker, Th. (1914) Dipteren aus Marokko. Ezhegodnik Zoologicheskogo Muzeya Imperatorskoy Akademii Nauk [Annuaire du Musée Zoologique de l'Académie Impériale de Sciences de St.-Pétersbourg], 18 (1913), 62–95.
- Bigot J.M.F. (1857) Essai d'une classification générale et synoptique de l'ordre des insectes diptères. 5e mémoire. Tribu des Asilidi (mihi). *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France* (3), 5, 517–564.
- Chvála, M. (1971) A third Palaearctic species of *Drapetis* subgenus *Elaphropeza*, from Sicily (Insecta, Diptera, Empididae). *Steenstrupia*, 1, 127–130.
- Chvála, M. (1975) The Tachydromiinae (Dipt. Empididae) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. *Fauna Entomologica Scandinavica*, 3, 1–336. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004272774
- Collin, J.E. (1949) Results of the Armstrong College Expedition to Siwa Oasis (Libyan Desert), 1935, under the leadership of Prof. J. Omer-Cooper. Diptera Empididae, Dolichopodidae, Aschiza and Acalypterae. *Bulletin de la Société Entomologique d'Egypte*, 33, 175–225.
- Collin, J.E. (1960) [1959] Some Empididae from Palestine. *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, 2 (13), 385–420. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222935908650872
- Cumming, J.M. & Wood, D.M. (2017) [Chapter] 3. Adult morphology and terminology. In: Kirk-Spriggs, A.H. & Sinclair, B.J. (eds) *Manual of Afrotropical Diptera. Volume 1. Introductory chapters and keys to Diptera families.* Suricata 4. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, pp. 89–133.
- Engel, E.O. (1939) 28. Empididae. Die Fliegen der palaearktischen Region, 4 (4), 105-152, taf. 2-6 (Lfg. 130).
- Freitas-Silva, R.A.P. & Ale-Rocha, R. (2019) New South American species of *Crossopalpus* Bigot (Diptera: Hybotidae: Tachydromiinae), including biogeographical insights and a reinterpretation of female abdominal tergite 10 in Drapetidini. *Zootaxa*, 4559 (1), 111–135. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4559.1.4
- Grootaert, P. (2016) *Drapetis bruscellensis* (Diptera, Hybotidae) a new species for science from the outskirts of Brussels, a not so cryptic species supported by COI barcoding. *Belgian Journal of Entomology*, 41, 1–14.
- Grootaert, P. (2019) Species turnover between the northern and southern part of the South China Sea in the *Elaphropeza* Macquart mangrove fly communities of Hong Kong and Singapore (Insecta: Diptera: Hybotidae). *European Journal of Taxonomy*, 554, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2019.554
- Grootaert, P. & Beuk, P.L.T. (2024) A note on the predatory hybotid fly genus *Crossopalpus* found at the Kerkini National Park in Greece with an illustrated key to the species occurring and expected in Greece. *Entomologia Hellenica*, 33 (1), 15–33.
- Grootaert, P. & Hellqvist, S. (2020) Two new *Drapetis* species (Diptera: Hybotidae) from Sweden. *Entomologisk Tidskrift*, 140 (3–4), 189–198.
- Grootaert, P. & Shamshev, I.V. (2012) The fast-running flies (Diptera, Hybotidae, Tachydromiinae) of Singapore and adjacent regions. *European Journal of Taxonomy*, 5, 1–162. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2012.5
- Grootaert, P. & Shamshev I.V. (2015) New species of fast-running flies (Diptera: Empidoidea, Hybotidae, Tachydromiinae) from mangroves in Singapore. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 63: 583–609.
- Grootaert, P., Shamshev, I. & Stark, A. (2010) *Drapetis flavipes* Macquart (Diptera, Hybotidae) new for the Belgian fauna, with a re-description of the species and a preliminary key to the West-European species of Drapetis. *Bulletin de la Société Royale Belge d'Entomologie*, 146, 110–115.
- Grootaert, P., Beuk, P. & Shamshev, I. (2020) A new species of *Drapetis* Meigen from calcareous grassland in southern Netherlands (Diptera, Hybotidae, Tachydromiinae). *Belgian Journal of Entomology*, 97, 1–11.
- Kazerani, F., Shamshev, I.V. Morinière, J. & Thorn S. (2022) New records of Empididae and Hybotidae (Insecta: Diptera) from Hyrcanian forests in Iran and Azerbaijan. *Far Eastern Entomologist*, 462, 20–28. https://doi.org/10.25221/fee.462.3
- Kovalev, V.G. (1970) A redescription of the type of *Drapetis* (s. str.) *laevis* Becker (Diptera, Empididae) from Tangier. *Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie*, 49, 688–690. [In Russian with English summary]

- Macquart, J. (1827) Insectes Dipteres du Nord de la France. Platypezines, Dolichopodes, Empides, Hybotides. Lille. 159 p.
- Meigen, J.W. (1822) Systematische Beschreibung der Bekannten Europäischen Zweiflügeligen Insekten. Dritter Theil. Schulz-Wundermann, Hamm. x + 416 p.
- Michelsen, V. & Grootaert, P. (2019) Co-existing species of *Drapetis* Meigen in Skåne (S Sweden) with description of a new species and a key to males of NW European species (Diptera: Hybotidae). *Zootaxa*, 4624 (3), 431–441. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4624.3.11
- Raffone, G. (1991) [1989] Contributo alla conoscenza della fauna del Sudan. Diptera Hybotidae. *Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Venezia*, 40, 69–72.
- Raffone, G. (2007) On some specimens of Diptera Hybotidae and Empididae from Turkey and Iran (Insecta Diptera Brachycera). *Quaderno di Studi e Notizie di Storia Naturale della Romagna*, 25, 87–91.
- Raffone, G. (2011) *Drapetis iordaniensis* n. sp. from Jordan (Insecta, Diptera, Hybotidae). *Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Venezia*, 62, 101–103.
- Raffone, G. (2013) Description of three new species of *Drapetis* Meigen, 1822 from Tuscany (Italy), with key to species from western Europe. *Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Venezia*, 64, 45–50.
- Shamshev, I.V. (2016) An annotated checklist of empidoid flies (Diptera: Empidoidea, except Dolichopodidae) of Russia. Proceedings of the Russian Entomological Society, 87, 1–184. https://doi.org/10.47640/1605-7678_2016_87_5
- Shamshev, I.V. & Grootaert, P. (2007) Revision of the genus *Elaphropeza* Macquart (Diptera: Hybotidae) from the Oriental region, with a special attention to the fauna of Singapore. *Zootaxa*, 1418, 1–164. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1488.1.1
- Sinclair, B.J. & Cumming, J.M. (2017) Hybotidae (Hybotid dance flies). In: Kirk-Spriggs, A.H. & Sinclair, B.J. (eds) Manual of Afrotropical Diptera. Volume 2. Nematocerous Diptera and lower Brachycera. Suricata 5. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, pp. 1237–1249.
- van Achterberg, C. (2009) Can Townes type Malaise traps be improved? Some recent developments. *Entomologische Berichten*, 69 (4), 129–135.
- Zahed, M.A., Ruhani, F. & Mohajeri, S. (2010) An overview of Iranian mangrove ecosystem, northern part of the Persian Gulf and Oman Sea. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 30 (4), 240–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chnaes.2010.03.013

گونههای جدید و گزارشهای نخست از دوبالان خانواده Hybotidae (Diptera: Tachydromiinae) در رویشگاههای مانگرو در ایران

ایگور شامشف^۱*، ابراهیم گیلاسیان^۲

۱ موسسه جانورشناسی، آکادمی روسی علوم، سن پترزبورگ، ۱۹۹۰۳٬ روسیه. ۲ بخش تحقیقات رده بندی حشرات، موسسه تحقیقات گیاه پزشکی کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی(AREEO)، تهران، ایران.

* پست الكترونيك نويسنده مسئول مكاتبه: shamshev@mail.ru

ا تاریخ دریافت: ۱۹ شهریور ۱۴۰۳ ا تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۳ مهر ۱۴۰۳ ا تاریخ انتشار: در حال چاپ ا

چکیده: دوبالان خانواده Insecta: Diptera: Empidoidea)Hybotidae برای اولین بار از رویشگاههای مانگرو در استان هرمزگان ایران گزارش می شوند. چهار گونه از زیرخانواده Tachydromiinae جمع آوری شدهاند که از این تعداد دو گونه Crossopalpus subaenescens Collin, 1960 و Crossopalpus subaenescens Collin, 1960 برای اولین بار از ایران گزارش می شوند. گونه اول از پراکنش وسیعی در خاورمیانه برخوردار بوده ولی گونه دوم تنها از جنگلهای مانگرو در سنگاپور جمع آوری شده است و این اولین گزارش از این گونه برای منطقه Palaearctic می باشد. دو گونه Laphropeza marduoensis sp. nov و این اولین گزارش از این گونه برای منطقه Elaphropeza subaenescens می باشد. دو گونه رو سنگاپور جمع آوری شده است و این اولین گزارش از این گونه برای منطقه Palaearctic می باشد. می باشند. این خانواده در رویشگاههای مانگرو در ایران در مقایسه با جنگلهای مانگرو مناطق تروپیکال از غنای گونه ای می باشند. این خانواده در رویشگاههای مانگرو در ایران در مقایسه با جنگلهای مانگرو مناطق تروپیکال از غنای گونه ای می باشند. این خانواده در رویشگاههای مانگرو در ایران در مقایسه با جنگلهای مانگرو مناطق تروپیکال از غنای گونه ای می باشند. این خانواده در رویشگاههای مانگرو در ایران در مقایسه با جنگلهای مانگرو مناطق تروپیکال از غنای گونه ای می باشتری به گونههای منطقه Palaearctic در صورتیکه گونههای جنسهای Elaphropeza به می باشد. در منطقه Oriental به می باشد.

واژگان كليدى: Elaphropeza ، Drapetis ، Crossopalpus ، Empidoidea ، پراكنش، هرمزگان، Palaearctic